

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,616	04/06/2001	J. Robert Hitchings	JPM-007	5849
70813 7590 11/30/2007 GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20001			EXAMINER	
			FELTEN, I	DANIEL S
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3694	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

AAlpha-Kpetewama@goodwinprocter.com bhenry@goodwinprocter.com HMCPEAKE@GOODWINPROCTER.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/828,616	HITCHINGS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel S. Felten	3694			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Se	eptember 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the □	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1)	4) 🔛 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/20/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. In response to applicant's argument that the novelty of the invention rests on the fact that the present invention relates to a management tool of "Like-Kind exchanges" a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Thus it is submitted that Himmelstein reads on the claims as currently stated. Also it is submitted that, as currently stated, the claims recite "acquired assets" and "relinquished assets" which are nothing more than would one would expect within the ordinary skill in the art as viewed by Himmelstein. Thus rejections set forth in the June 07, 2007 office action using Himmelstein is maintained and a courtesy copy is provided below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

09/828,616 Art Unit: 3694

Claims 1-3, 5-14, 16-19, 21-23, 27-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Himmelstein (US 7,080,050). Himmelstein discloses a system for managing like kind exchange (see column 1, lines 14-35; and column 4, lines 46-52), comprising:

--a database (116 or (117) containing information related to sets of assets (see column 2, lines 51-35; and fig. 1, column 5, lines 26-35), as in claims 1, 2, 3

Each of said sets of assets including at least one asset apiece (see column 6, lines 53-60), in claims 1, 2, 3

--a processing engine_coupled to said database and being operable to identify a first set of assets. eligible for a like kind exchange based on said information (see fig. 1, column 5, lines 26-35; and column 6, lines 60-65), as in claims 1, 2, 3

Said processing engine being further operable to identify a second set of assets eligible for combination with said first set of assets to produce particular like kind exchange combinations (see column 6, lines 60 to column 7, lines 10); and as in claims 1, 2, 3

A set of parameters specifying criteria for use by said processing engine to produce particular like kind exchange combinations (see column 5, lines 55-64; and column 6, line 60 to column 7, lines i 0), as in claims 1, 2, 3

- --a reporting engine_coupled to said processing engine and operable to produce reports to said system (see "displaying orders," column 6, lines 62-65; and column 7, lines 35-61), as in claim 2 --a communication mechanism_coupled with said system and effective to permit notification to an entity capable of conducting a like kind exchange about said eligible combination (see column 6, lines 62-65; and column 4, line 46 to column 5, line 25), as in claim 3 said communication mechanism being further effective to permit information feedback to said system related to at least one like kind exchange executed by said entity (see column 4, line 46 to column 5, line 25), as in claim3
- --wherein said entity is a qualified intermediary (see column 7, lines 16-21; and column 7, lines 65 to column 8, line 8; and column 8, lines 48-57), as in claim 5
- --wherein said communication mechanism in an electronic communication medium, whereby said notification can be provided automatically through said electronic communication medium, (see claim 3), as in claim 6
- --wherein said information related to said sets of assets includes data related to at least one of acquired assets and relinquished assets (see figs. 7A-E, column 18, lines 24 to column 19 line 40), as in claim 7
- --wherein a combination of said acquired assets and said relinquished assets produce said like kind exchange combination pursuant to a regulatory code (see column 4, lines 28-31), as in claim 8

09/828,616 Art Unit: 3694

- --wherein said communication mechanism is operable to provide instruction details related said like kind exchange to a financing institution (see claim 7), as in claim 9
- --wherein said instruction details inform said financing institution on funding for acquired assets and usage for escrow for proceeds from the dispOsition of relinquished assets (see column 20, lines 52-55), as in claim 10
- --wherein said communication mechanism is an electronic communication medium, whereby said instruction details can be provided automatically through said electronic communication medium (see column 1) as in claim 11 further comprising an accounting module operable to track accounting information and reconcile account information (see column 19, line 41 to column 20, line 4), as in claim 12
- --wherein said accounting module is coupled to said reporting engine and said reporting engine is operable accounting reports(see column 6, lines 62-65; and column 7, lines 35-61), as in claim 13
- --wherein said reporting engine is further operable to provide information usable for completing at least one regulatory filings and forms (see colun 20 lines 55-64), as in claim 14

Re Claims 16, 31-33, 35-37: A method for conducting a like kind exchange, comprising:

- --identifying assets in a database eligible for a like kind exchange (see fig. 1, column 5, lines 26-35; and column 6, lines 60-65);
- --matching said identified assets to achieve a combination of assets to participate in a like kind exchange (see column 6, lines 60 to column 7, lines 10);
- --providing specifics of said matched assets to an entity capable of conducting a like kind exchange (see column 6, lines 60 to column 7, lines 10) and
- --receiving from said entity information related to like kind exchanges (see column 7, lines 16-21; and column 7, lines 65 to column 8, line 8; and column 8, lines 48-57),
- --generating a funding instruction file (see column 2, lines 55-58), as in claim 17
- --generating a transaction summary file, (see column 2, lines 55-58), as in claim 17
- --transmitting said funding instruction file and said transaction summary file to said entity, as in claim 17
- --further comprising communicating witla said entity over an electronic medium whereby said files are transmitted electronically (see claim 1), as in claim 18
- --wherein said entity is a qualified intermediary (see column 7, lines 16-21; and column 7, lines 65 to column 8, line 8; and column 8, lines 48-57), as in claim 19

Application/Control Number:

09/828,616 Art Unit: 3694 Page 5

- --generating a transaction detail file; and
- --transmitting said transaction detail file to trustee bank, as in claim 20
- --further comprising communicating with said entity over an electronic medium, whereby said files are transmitted electronically (see claim 1), as in claim 21
- --wherein said matched assets include relinquished leased assets and newly acquired assets, (see claim 7), as in claim 22
- --locating specific assets within said database based on parameter settings (see column 6, line 53 to column 7, line 15);
- --Combining said assets to form like kind exchange combinations comprised of relinquished assets and newly acquired assets; and performing said like kind exchange based on value of said parameter settings (see column 6, line 53 to column 7, line 15; and column 18, line 24 to column 19, line 40), as in claim 23
- --wherein the parameter settings can be set by an asset owner (see column 6, lines 53+), as in clam 25
- --determining an asset tax basis for Said newly acquired assets (see column 2, lines 51-64; and column 4, lines 27-45), as in claim 27
- --determining an asset tax basis gain for said relinquished leased assets, and --adjusting said tax basis based on said tax basis gain for assets involved in a like kind exchange, (see column 2,

lines 51-64; and column 4, lines 27-45), as in claim 28,

- --recording a result of said reconciliation, as in claim 29
- --observing discrepancies in said reconciliation result; and
- --taking appropriate measures to overcome and discrepancies.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

09/828,616 Art Unit: 3694

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 4, 15, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Himmelstein (US 7,080,050)

Re claim 4: Himmelstein shows an electronic barter system that barters items/assets and or securities (see column, lines 14-36), but fails to disclose that the assets are automotive vehicles. It would have been an obvious extension of the invention of Himmelstein to provide automobiles as an items because an artisan would have recognized the fact that the automobiles are art also commodities "that may be used by the invention to be bartered. Thus such a modification would be an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skil! in the art. Re claims 15, 24 and 26: Himmelstein fails to disclose wherein said set of parameters include at least one of a time setting, a comparison tolerance setting, an override setting and an asset setting. However, since the parameters in Himmelstein do have to various aforementioned criteria (see column 6, lines 53 to column 7, line 15). Thus it would have been obvious for an artisan to recognize that the criteria mentioned would sought to use the aforementioned settings

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

09/828,616

Art Unit: 3694

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Felten whose telephone number is (571) 272-6742. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner Art Unit 3694

11/20/2007